IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN

MONDAY, THE 10TH DECEMBER 2007 / 19TH AGRAHAYANA 1929

WP(C).No. 11812 of 2007(F)

PETITIONER:

---

1. P.MOIDEENKUTTY, AGED 31 YEARS,
S/O.MUHAMMED, PAMBADAN PALLIYALITHODI HOUSE,
NEERATTU P.O, MUTHUVALLOOR, KONDOTTY VILLAGE,
ERNAD TALUK, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT (JUNIOR CLERK,
THE CONDOTTY SERVICE CO.OPERATIVE BANK LIMITED,
NO.D.1937, MALAPPURA-673 638).

2. K.M.AMMAR, AGED 32 YEARS,
S/O.K.M.MAMMAD, HIJARA COTTAGE, MUNDAPALAM,
KONDOTTY.P.O., MALAPPURAM-673 638 (JUNIOR CLERK
THE KONDOTTY SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE BANK LIMITED
NO.D.1937, MALAPPURAM-673 638).

BY ADV. SRI.PMA.KALAM
SRI.P.M.MANASH

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE KONDOTTY SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE
BANK LIMITED NO.D.1937, KONDOTTY P.O.,
MALAPPURAM-673 638, REPRESENTED BY ITS
SECRETARY.

2. THE ASSISTANT REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE
SOCIETIES (GENERAL), MANJERI.

3. THE JOINT REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE
SOCIETIES (GENERAL), MALAPPURAM.

R1 BY SC ADV. SHRI. V.G. ARUN
R2 & 3 BY GOVT. PLEADER SMT. M.K.PUSHPALATHA

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD

ON 10/12/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE

FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX IN W.P(C) 11812/07

PETITIONER'S EXTS:

EXT.P1: TRUE COPY OF REQUEST LETTER DT. 1.8.2002 SENT BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT TO THE RESPONDENTS 2 AND 3

EXT.P2: -DO- OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING DT. 17.5.2002 OF THE 1ST RESPONDENT BANK

EXT.P3: -DO- OF LETTER FURNISHING DETAILS TO THE 3RD RESPONDENT DT. NIL

EXT.P4: -DO- OF LETTER DT. 4.10.2004 OF THE 3RD RESPONDENT TO THE 1ST RESPONDENT CALLING FOR DETAILS

EXT.P5: -DO- OF REPLY DT. 8.11.2004 SUBMITTED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT TO THE 3RD RESPONDENT

EXT.P6: -DO- OF ORDER DT. 10.1.2005 FIXING STAFF PATTERN OF THE RESPONDENT BANK BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT

jj /TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE

THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN, J.

= =

WP(C).No.11812 of 2007-F

= =

Dated this the 10th day of December, 2007.

JUDGMENT

The petitioners state that they were appointed in the service of the first respondent Bank and that the first respondent has resolved that the services of the petitioners are to be regularised. If the third respondent concludes otherwise, it is the basic requirement that a decision is taken and communicated to the first respondent and the petitioners in that regard. Let such a decision be taken and communicated within an outer limit of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. This is all the more so because the petitioners will be entitled to agitate their grievances before higher statutory authorities, including the

Government. All other issues are left open. The writ petition is disposed of in the above terms.

THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN,
JUDGE.

Sha/